



CASE STUDY

HIRING PRODUCTIVE
SALES PEOPLE



Sales Staff Industry

Partner Contact Information

Profiles  International
imagine great people®

Client Case Studies - Vol. 1

Copyright 2011 by Profiles International. Printed and bound in the United States of America.
All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means including information storage and retrieval systems without written permission from the publisher.

Publisher

Profiles Research Institute
Dario Priolo, Managing Director
5205 Lake Shore Drive
Waco, Texas 76710-1732
Profiles International
(800) 960-9612
www.profilesinternational.com
www.americasmostproductive.com

Acknowledgements

President, Co-founder, Profiles International: Bud Haney
Editor-in-Chief: Dario Priolo
Managing Editor: Carrie D. Martinez
Assistant Editors: Mary Beth Bernheisel, Jacob Ford, Jeff Meyers
Creative Director: Kelley Taylor
Graphic Design Assistants: Colton Canava, Kristen Fletcher

ProfileXT®

PXT in use by a sales organization



AT A GLANCE

CHALLENGE:

A better way to select highly productive sales personnel

SOLUTION:

The company used the ProfileXT® to assess their sales staff and then conducted a study to determine the relationship between the PXT results and sales productivity.

A large nationwide sales company wanted a better way to select highly productive sales personnel. The organization used the ProfileXT® to assess their sales staff and then conducted a study to determine the relationship between the PXT results and sales productivity.

Participants

The employer initially provided a list of the 12 Top Performers out of 41 total sales staff so they could develop a Job Match pattern based on their PXT results. The performance criterion was from an in-house evaluation process the company routinely used to assess the productivity of their sales people.

Job Match pattern

The standard software was utilized to build a Job Match Pattern using the 12 Top Performers. This was accomplished by using the software's integrated

Concurrent Study process. The resulting Top Performer Job Match Pattern was used for subsequent job matching.

At that time, the company provided the performance ratings for all subjects. All 41 subjects were matched against the resulting Top Performer Job Match pattern, with a 79% or greater match selected as an acceptable matching point.



Details

Of the 12 Top Performers identified by the employer, 11 (92%) matched the pattern at or above the matching point.

Of the 15 Bottom Performers (as identified by the employer), only 3 (20%) matched the pattern at or above the matching point.

Of the remaining subjects who were identified as performing in the middle range, approximately one-half matched the pattern at or above the matching point (selection rate, 52%). These ratios reflect the favorable selection capability of the pattern.